Application guide

Mepilex[®] Up

Designed to minimize the risk of leakage

- Unique capability to spread fluids in all directions even against gravity¹
- Supporting a higher fluid handling capacity than other foam dressings*1
- Effectively manage high volumes of fluid for a long period of time¹
- Ability to handle viscous fluids¹
- Can be used in a wide range of exuding wounds and locations¹

*When comparing lab test result for Smith & Nephew Allevyn Gentle, Coloplast Biatain® Silicone NB, Convatec Aquacel® Foam, Essity Cutimed® Siltec®, according to EN13726:2023 Annex E



Application on leg









Application on foot









Application on heel









Application on toe









Application on toe - cross









Application on elbow









Application on finger









Secure Mepilex® Up with a secondary bandage or other fixation device.

Safetac® technology. Less damage. Less pain.

In numerous randomized trials, dressings with Safetac* are clinically demonstrated to minimize damage to the wound and skin at removal²⁻⁹. By sealing the wound margins, they help prevent maceration. With less damage to the wound and skin, pain at dressing change is minimized^{2-7,10}. Therefore, several randomized trials associate dressings with Safetac with faster healing and lower total treatment cost^{3,7,10}.





Without Safetac

With Safetac

Scan the QR to access My Mölnlycke support platform. Get direct user information such as product details, dressing instructions and application guides.





Molnlycke.ca

References: 1. Mölnlycke Health Care. Data on File. 2024. 2. Van Overschelde, P. et al. A randomised controlled trial comparing two wound dressings used after elective hip and knee arthroplasty. Poster presentation at 5th Congress of the WUWHS, Florence, Italy, 2016. 3. Silverstein P. et al. An open, parallel, randomized, comparative, multicenter study to evaluate the cost-effectiveness, performance, tolerance, and safety of a silver-containing soft silicone foam. Journal of Burn Care and Research, 2011. 4. Gee Kee E.L. et al. Randomized controlled trial of three burns dressings for partial thickness burns in children. Burns, 2014. 5. David F. et al. A randomised, controlled, non-inferiority trial comparing the performance of a soft silicone-coated wound contact layer (Mepitel One) with a lipidocolloid wound contact layer (UrgoTu) in the treatment of acute wounds. International Wound Journal, 2017. 6. Patton M.L. et al.. An open, prospective, randomized pilot investigation evaluating pain with the use of a soft silicone wound contact layer vs bridal veil and staples on split thickness skin grafts as a primary dressing. Journal of burn care & research, 2013 7. Bredow J. et al. Evaluation of Absorbent Versus Conventional Wound Dressing. A Randomized Controlled Study in Orthopedic Surgery. Deutsche Arzteblatt International, 2018. 8. Meaume S. et al. A study to compare a new self-adherent split and staples on respective proproached. Study in Orthopedic Surgery. Deutsche Arzteblatt International, 2018. 8. Meaume S. et al. A study to compare a new self-adherent split and proproached clinical trial of 78 breast cancer patients. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2014. 10. Gotschall C.S. et al. Prospective, randomized study of the efficacy of Mepitel on children with partial-thickness scalds. Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation, 1998.

Find out more at Molnlycke.ca

Clinicians should ensure that the product is used in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions for useand their own clinical judgement. Report complaints to Molnlycke Health Care.





